PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Docket No: Q79410

Juergen BIEBER Allowed: September 8, 2008

Appln. No.: 10/788,473 Group Art Unit: 2121

Confirmation No.: 9022 Examiner: Sunray CHANG

Filed: March 1, 2004

For: METHOD AND DEVICE FOR DISPLAYING INFORMATION PERTAINING TO AN

INSTALLATION PART OF AN INDUSTRIAL INSTALLATION ON A MOBILE

DISPLAY

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

ATTN: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant makes the following comments on the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The Examiner's statement should not be misinterpreted as meaning that the identified features are the only patentable features in any of the claims. The independent claims and the dependent claims include various aspects which provide a separate basis for patentability. The Examiner's statement emphasizes certain aspects of the claims, but each claim should be interpreted using its own precise language, without inferring any particular emphasis from the Examiner's statement.

The claims are carefully written to precisely define the bounds of the invention, and persons reading these remarks hereafter should note that any difference between the Examiner's

DRAFT STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE Attorney Docket No.: Q79410

U.S. Application No.: 10/788,473

language and the language of the claims should be resolved by recourse to only the express

language of the claims.

Patent Office personnel are requested to note that the present submission does not

adversely affect the patent term adjustment accrued by Applicant to date. As emphasized in the

"Clarification of 37 C.F.R. §1.704(c)(10) – Reduction of Patent Term Adjustment for Certain

Types of Papers Filed After a Notice of Allowance Has Been Mailed," 1247OG111 (6/26/01), "a

response to the examiner's reasons for allowance" is an example of a paper that does "not cause

substantial interference and delay in the patent issue process" and is "not considered a 'failure to

engage in reasonable efforts' to conclude processing or examination of the application."

Therefore, the Applicant remains entitled to the full patent term adjustment set forth on page 3 of

the Notice of Allowance mailed September 8, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Nataliya Dvorson Registration No. \$6,616

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: December 5, 2008

2